

Matthew W. Gissemdanner Assistant General Counsel

matthew.gissendanner@scana.com

December 22, 2017

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Jocelyn G. Boyd Chief Clerk/Administrator Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs for South Carolina Electric

& Gas Company; Docket No. 2017-2-E

Request for Waiver of Commission Order No. 2017-246

Dear Ms. Boyd:

By Order No. 2017-246, dated April 27, 2017, issued in the above-referenced docket, the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") most recently approved South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's ("SCE&G" or "Company") Rate Schedule PR-2 ("Rate PR-2"). In addition to approving Rate PR-2, the Commission also approved SCE&G's proposal to update Rate PR-2 twice a year.

Since its last update to Rate PR-2, SCE&G has added or comtracted to add additional solar facilities to its resource plan; announced the abandonment of the two new nuclear units at V.C. Summer Station; and announced its intent to purchase the Columbia Energy Center. As a result of these developments, SCE&G is in the process of evaluating its resource plan going forward and does not believe that it would be prudent to update its Rate PR-2 given the uncertainty in its resource plan at this time.

Based on the existing uncertainty in its resource plan, SCE&G respectfully requests a waiver of the requirement in Commission Order No. 2017-246 to update its PR-2 Rate twice a year. If granted, the next update to Rate PR-2 would occur as part of SCE&G's Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs for South Carolina Electric & Gas Company in Docket No. 2018-2-E.

The Honorable Jocelyn G. Boyd December 22, 2017 Page 2

SCE&G is also planning to implement changes to certain aspects of its avoided cost calculation. For instance, in Docket No. 2016-2-E. Dr. Lynch testified that the Company recognized the "difficult[y] ... [in] us[ing] the capacity value of a firm, dispatchable resource to place a capacity value on an intermittent, non-dispatchable source of capacity such as a solar farm." To ameliorate this difficulty, in that docket, the Company identified the set of critical peak hours where energy would have a capacity value on the system and spread the avoided capacity cost across these hours. However, the Company now has executed power purchase agreements for approximately 700 megawatts ("MW") of utility-scale solar generation. As a result of the addition or planned addition of this utility-scale solar generation on the Company's system, a firm, dispatchable resource no longer gives a reasonable approximation of the capacity value for the next increment of intermittent, nondispatchable solar generation. Therefore, in its next update, the Company intends to use an intermittent, non-dispatchable source of capacity, i.e., 100 MW of solar generation, to perform its difference in revenue requirement analysis to determine the appropriate avoided capacity costs for its Rate PR-2 taxiff. The Company believes that this and other changes would be more appropriately considered in the annual fuel proceeding as well.

By copy of this letter, SCE&G is providing the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff with a copy of its request.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Wery truly yours,
Matthew W. Disserdance

Matthew W. Gissendanner

MWG/kms

cc: Dawn M. Hipp
Jeffrey M. Nelsom, Esquire
Andrew M. Bateman, Esquire
Scott Elliott, Esquire
J. Blanding Holman, IV, Esquire
Lauren J. Bowen, Esquire
Richard L. Whitt, Esquire
Timothy F. Rogers, Esquire
Benjamin L. Smowden, Esquire
Charles L.A. Terrenii, Esquire

(all via electronic and U.S. First Class Mail)